### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

PAULETTE LUSTER and BETTY HAAS, on behalf of themselves and all others	) CASE NO. 1:16-cv-02613
similarly situated,	) JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
Plaintiffs,	)
	) PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
VS.	CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION,
	<b>EXPEDITED OPT-IN DISCOVERY</b>
AWP INC., D/B/A AREA WIDE	AND COURT-SUPERVISED
PROTECTIVE	NOTICE TO POTENTIAL OPT-IN
Defendant.	) <u>PLAINTIFFS</u>

Plaintiffs Paulette Luster and Betty Haas, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, respectfully move this Honorable Court, pursuant to Section 16(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d) and 83(b), for an Order conditionally certifying this case as a collective action and implementing a procedure, described in the accompanying Proposed Order (Exhibit 1), whereby prospective opt-in plaintiffs will be notified of Plaintiffs' FLSA claims and given an opportunity to join this action as party plaintiffs. Such relief is appropriate for the reasons discussed in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Chastity L. Christy
Chastity L. Christy (0076977)
Anthony J. Lazzaro (0077962)
Lori M. Griffin (0085241)
The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC
920 Rockefeller Building
614 W. Superior Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44113
Phone: 216-696-5000
Facsimile: 216-696-7005
anthony@lazzarolawfirm.com
chastity@lazzarolawfirm.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

PAULETTE LUSTER and BETTY HAAS,	) CASE NO. 1:16-cv-02613
on behalf of themselves and all others	)
similarly situated,	) JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
•	)
Plaintiffs,	)
,	)
VS.	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
AWP INC., D/B/A AREA WIDE	CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION,
PROTECTIVE	EXPEDITED OPT-IN DISCOVERY, AND
- a 1	) COURT-SUPERVISED NOTICE TO
Defendant.	POTENTIAL OPT-IN PLAINTIFFS

#### I. INTRODUCTION

On October 26, 2016, Plaintiffs Paulette Luster and Betty Haas initiated this collective action against AWP INC. d/b/a Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a result of Defendant's practice and policy of not paying its non-exempt employees, including Plaintiffs, overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek, in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.C.S. 201-219.

Plaintiffs pursue these claims as Representative Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and other similarly-situated employees. They do so pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), which provides that "[a]n action to recover the liability" prescribed by the Act for unpaid minimum wages, overtime compensation, and liquidated damages "may be maintained against any employer... by any one or more employees for and on behalf of herself or themselves and other employees similarly situated."

Section 16(b) of the FLSA specifies that "[n]o employee shall be a party plaintiff to any action unless he gives his consent in writing to become such a party and such consent is filed in

the court in which such action is brought." Thus, FLSA actions like this one are "collective actions," and require other affected individuals to "opt into" the litigation. *See Albright v. Gen. Die Casters, Inc.*, 5:10-CV-480, 2010 WL 6121689, \*2 (N.D. Ohio July 14, 2010) ("under the FLSA a putative plaintiff must affirmatively opt into the class"); *Jackson v. Papa John's USA, Inc.*, No. 1:08-CV-2791, 2009 WL 385580, \*4 (N.D. Ohio Feb. 13 2009) (same).

Conditional certification is appropriately considered early in an FLSA collective action so that court-authorized notice can be given to potential opt-in plaintiffs. Notably, 21 other individuals, in addition to the two (2) Representative Plaintiffs, have already opted into this case by submitting written consents. (See Notice of Filing Consent Forms, filed on 10/26/16, 10/27/16, 10/31/16, 11/2/16, and 11/23/16). Before additional individuals opt in, the potential opt-in plaintiffs need to be notified of the present collective action and their right to join this action. Only through proper notification will they have the opportunity to determine whether they would like to participate.

As shown below, Representative Plaintiffs have amply satisfied the "modest factual showing" required for conditional certification and the issuance of notice. *Comer v. Wal-Mart Stores*, 454 F.3d 544, 546, 548 (6th Cir. 2006). Accordingly, the Court should conditionally certify this action as a collective action and order that notice be given to potential opt-ins of their right and opportunity to join in the action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

# II. CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION AND ISSUANCE OF NOTICE TO POTENTIAL OPT-IN PLAINTIFFS IS WARRANTED UNDER SECTION 16(B) OF THE FLSA UPON A "MODEST FACTUAL SHOWING"

Courts in this Circuit have recognized that a collective action under the FLSA "furthers several important policy goals." *Albright*, 2010 WL 6121689, at \*1; *Jackson*, 2009 WL 385580, at \*3. These goals, the court explained, were identified by the Supreme Court in *Hoffman*-

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unreported cases are attached as Exhibit 6.

LaRoche v. Sperling, 493 U.S. 165 (1989):

First, the collective action "allows... plaintiffs the advantage of lower individual costs to vindicate rights by the pooling of resources." Second, "[t]he judicial system benefits by efficient resolution in one proceeding of common issues of law and fact arising from the same alleged discriminatory activity."

Albright, 2010 WL 6121689, at \*1 (quoting Hoffman-LaRoche, 493 U.S. at 170); Jackson, 2009 WL 385580, at \*3 (same).

Three essential principles have guided the courts' implementation of the policy goals recognized in *Hoffman-LaRoche*: (1) conditional certification enables managerial responsibility over the notice process so that opt-in plaintiffs can be notified in an efficient and proper way; (2) managerial control over the notice process should be exercised early in a case; and (3) conditional certification and notice should be approved based only upon a "modest factual showing."

# A. <u>Conditional Certification Enables the District Court to Exercise Managerial</u> Responsibility Over the Notice Process

Hoffman-LaRoche held that in a collective action, the district court "has a managerial responsibility to oversee the joinder of additional parties to assure that the task is accomplished in an efficient and proper way." Hoffman-LaRoche, 493 U.S. at 170-71. In the Sixth Circuit and elsewhere, district courts routinely play an active role in the opt-in discovery and notice process. Albright, 2010 WL 6121689, at \*2-3; Jackson, 2009 WL 385580, at \*4-5; Douglas v. GE Energy, No. 1:07-cv-77, 2007 WL 1341779, at \*8 (N.D. Ohio April 30, 2007); Miklos v. Goldman-Hayden Cos., Inc., No. 99-CV-1279, 2000 WL 1617969, at \*2 (S.D. Ohio Oct. 24, 2000); Brown v. Money Tree Mortgage, Inc., No. 2651-JWL, 222 F.R.D. 676 (D. Kan. Aug. 23, 2004); Bradford v. Bed Bath & Beyond, Inc., 184 F. Supp. 2d 1342, 1345 (N.D. Ga. 2002); Kane v. Gage Merch. Serv., Inc., 138 F. Supp 2d 212, 215 (D. Mass. 2001); Morisky v. Public Serv.

Elec. and Gas Co., 111 F. Supp. 2d 493, 497 (D. N.J. 2000); Realite v. ARK Restaurants Corp., 7 F. Supp. 2d 303, 306 (S.D.N.Y. 1998).

# B. <u>Managerial Control Over the Notice Process Should Be Exercised Early</u> in the Case

Hoffman-LaRoche held that "it lies within the discretion of a district court to begin its involvement early, at the point of the initial notice, rather than at some later time." Hoffman-LaRoche, 493 U.S. at 171. In Jackson, this Court catalogued the benefits of "early judicial intervention" in the notice process:

In *Hoffman-LaRoche*, the Supreme Court also noted the "wisdom and necessity for early judicial intervention in the management of litigation." When dealing with a collective action, a "trial court can better manage [the] action if it ascertains the contours of the action at the outset." Additionally, "[b]y monitoring preparation and distribution of the notice, a court can ensure that it is timely, accurate, and informative. Both the parties and the court benefit from settling disputes about the content of the notice before it is distributed."

Albright, 2010 WL 6121689, at \*2 (citations omitted) (quoting Hoffman-LaRoche, 493 U.S. at 171-72); Jackson, 2009 WL 385580, at \*4 (same). See also Braunstein v. Eastern Photographic Labs, Inc., 600 F.2d 335, 336 (2d Cir. 1978), cert. denied, 441 U.S. 944 (1979) (early facilitation of the opt-in process furthers the "broad remedial purpose" of the FLSA); Myers v. Hertz Corp., 624 F.3d 537, 555 n.10 (2d Cir. 2010) (conditional certification's sole effect is "to facilitate the sending of notice to potential class members").

Early facilitation of the notice process is essential to the opt-in plaintiffs as well. The benefits of an FLSA collective action "depend on employees receiving accurate and timely notice concerning the pendency of the collective action, so that they can make an informed decision about whether to participate." *Hoffman-LaRoche*, *supra*, 493 U.S. at 170. *Accord Douglas*, 2007 WL 1341779, at \*3; *Miklos*, 2000 WL 1617969, at \*4.

Indeed, for potential opt-in plaintiffs, time is of the essence. Unlike Rule 23 class

actions, the commencement of an FLSA collective action does not toll the running of the statute of limitations for putative class members. Rather, pursuant to the FLSA and the Portal-To-Portal Act, an opt-in plaintiff's claim against the employer is not commenced until the date his or her written consent to join the collective action is filed with the district court. *See* 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b) and 256. *See Cahill v. City of New Brunswick*, 99 F. Supp. 2d 464, 479 (D.N.J. 2000). The district court's involvement "ensures that all potential plaintiffs receive timely notice of a pending suit." *Garner v. G.D. Searle*, 802 F. Supp. 418, 422 (M.D. Ala. 1991). *Accord Hoffman-La Roche*, 493 U.S. at 170; *Miklos*, 2000 WL 1617969, at \*3.

# C. <u>Courts Generally Approve Conditional Certification and Notice Based Only Upon a "Modest Factual Showing"</u>

Section 16(b) of the FLSA expressly authorizes a collective action to be maintained "by any one or more employees" on behalf of "themselves and other employees similarly situated." To determine "whether proposed co-plaintiffs are, in fact, similarly situated for the purposes of the statute's requirements," the courts "have used a two-phase inquiry." *Comer*, 454 F.3d at 546. *See also Ziemski v. P & G Hospitality Group, LLC*, No. 1:10-CV-2920, 2011 WL 1366668, \*1 (N.D. Ohio Apr. 12, 2011) ("The Sixth Circuit expressed approval for the two-phase test developed by the district courts in the Sixth Circuit"); *Albright*, 2010 WL 6121689, at \*2 ("courts have developed a two-stage approach"); *McNelley v. ALDI, Inc.*, 1:09-CV-1868, 2009 WL 7630236, \*2 (N.D. Ohio Nov. 17, 2009) ("Courts generally use a two-stage approach"); *Jackson*, 2009 WL 385580, at \*4 ("courts have developed a two-stage approach"); *Harrison v. McDonald's Corp.*, 411 F.Supp.2d 862, 864-65 (S.D. Ohio 2005) ("[c]ourts have generally adopted a two-tiered certification approach").

As the Sixth Circuit explained in *Comer*, the first phase, which the court referred to as

"the notice stage," "takes place at the beginning of discovery." *Comer*, 454 F.3d at 546. At this stage, the court conditionally certifies a class as a "collective action for notice purposes." *McNelley*, at \*4. "The second occurs after all of the opt-in forms have been received and discovery has concluded." *Comer*, 454 F.3d at 546. At this stage, "a court makes a final determination on whether class members are similarly situated based on a thorough review of the record." *McNelley*, at \*4.

At "the notice stage," the representative plaintiff must show "only that 'his position is similar, not identical, to the positions held by the putative class members," and this determination "need only be based on a modest factual showing." *Comer*, 454 F.3d at 548 (quoting *Pritchard v. Dent Wizard Int'l*, 210 F.R.D. 591, 596 (S.D. Ohio 2002) (quoting *Viciedo v. New Horizons Computer*, 246 F.Supp.2d 886 (S.D. Ohio 2001)); *Allen v. Marshall Field & Co.*, 93 F.R.D. 438, 443 (N.D. Ill. 1982)). The determination "is made using a fairly lenient standard, and typically results in 'conditional certification' of a representative class.'" *Comer*, 454 F.3d at 547 (quoting *Morisky v. Public Serv. Elec. & Gas Co.*, 111 F. Supp. 2d 493, 497 (D.N.J. 2000) (quoting *Thiessen v. General Elec. Capital Corp.*, 996 F. Supp. 1071, 1080 (D. Kan. 1998); *Roebuck v. Hudson Valley Farms, Inc.*, 239 F. Supp. 2d 234, 238 (N.D.N.Y. 2002)).

Courts have found that the representative plaintiff's burden is minimal at the notice stage. *Houston v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.*, No. 1:15-CV-1853, 2015 WL 8527339, at \*1 (N.D. Ohio Dec. 11, 2015) (During the first stage, which typically takes place at the beginning of discovery, the standard for conditional certification is "fairly lenient."); *Ribby v. Liberty Health Care Corp.*, No. 3:13-CV-613, 2013 WL 3187260 (N.D. Ohio June 20, 2013) ("the notice stage is fairly lenient and places a low burden on plaintiffs"); *Albright*, 2010 WL 6121689, at \*3; *Jackson*, 2009 WL 385580, at \*4; *Douglas*, 2007 WL 1341779, at \*8; *Harrison v. McDonald's Corp.*, 411 F. Supp. 2d 862, 864-65 (S.D. Ohio 2005); *Pritchard*, 210 F.R.D. at 595. *See also Reab v.* 

*Electronic Arts, Inc.*, 214 F.R.D. 623, 628 (D. Colo. 2002) (granting conditional certification based largely on the allegations of the complaint, where 3,000 potential opt-in plaintiffs existed).

Other circuit courts concur that a plaintiff's burden at the notice stage is minimal. *See Cameron-Grant v. Maxim Healthcare Serv., Inc.*, 347 F.3d 1240, 1243 (11th Cir. 2003) (citing *Hipp*, 252 F.3d at 1218 (11th Cir. 2001) ("At the notice stage, the district court makes a decision — usually based only on the pleadings and any affidavits which have been submitted — whether notice of the action should be given to potential class members. Because the court has minimal evidence, this determination is made using a fairly lenient standard, and typically results in 'conditional certification.'"); *Thiessen v. GE Capital Corp.*, 267 F.3d 1095, 1102 (10th Cir. 2001), *cert. denied*, 536 U.S. 934 (2002) (notice determination "requires[s] nothing more than substantial allegations that the putative class members were together the victims of a single decision, policy, or plan").

It is only "[a]t the second stage, following discovery, [that] trial courts examine more closely the question of whether particular members of the class are, in fact, similarly situated." *Comer*, 454 F.3d at 547; *Albright*, 2010 WL 6121689, at \*3; *Jackson*, 2009 WL 385580, at \*5. At that point "the court has much more information on which to base its decision and, as a result, [it] employs a stricter standard." *Comer*, 454 F.3d at 547 (citing *Morisky v. Public Serv. Elec. & Gas Co.*, 111 F.Supp.2d 493, 497 (D.N.J. 2000)). *See also Goldman v. Radioshack Corp.*, No. 03-CV-0032, 2003 WL 21250571, at \*8 (E.D. Pa. Apr. 16, 2003) ("A fact-specific inquiry is conducted only after discovery and a formal motion to decertify the class is brought by the defendant.... It is simply premature to do so now because we lack sufficient evidence to conduct a more rigorous inquiry."); *Mueller v. CBS, Inc.*, 201 F.R.D. 425, 428 (W.D. Pa. 2001) (holding that the second stage comes after the "putative class members have filed their consents to opt-in and further discovery has taken place to support plaintiff's assertions... and the matter is ready

for trial.").

# III. PLAINTIFFS HAVE SATISFIED THEIR MODEST BURDEN OF SHOWING THAT THE POTENTIAL OPT-IN PLAINTIFFS IN THIS FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ARE SIMILARLY-SITUATED

More than sufficient information exists in the present collective action to establish that the potential opt-in plaintiffs are similarly situated such that prompt notice of the action should be given. First, Representative Plaintiffs Paulette Luster and Betty Haas alleged in their Complaint and submitted declarations stating that they and the potential opt-in plaintiffs are similarly situated. (See Exhibits 2 and 3, Declarations of Consent of Paulette Luster and Betty Haas). Second, Opt-In Party Plaintiffs Cornell Alexander, Janiese Cage, Marquez Cross, Pamela Dubisky, Eric Fletcher, Brad Hintz, Andre Hunter-Eldride, Deborah Kirk, Renae Kleski, Lisa Leach, Keith Matthews, Mark McClinton, Patti Jo Moore, Watisha Ponyard, Michael Seay, Cynthia Slater, Teddy Smith Jr., Martise Spencer, Michael Stover Jr., Ramone Terrell, Beth Zorger, and Megan Zorger have submitted declarations establishing that they are similarly situated to Representative Plaintiffs and the potential opt-in plaintiffs. (See Exhibit 4, Declarations of Opt-In Plaintiffs.)

# A. Representative Plaintiffs Paulette Luster and Betty Haas Alleged in Their Complaint and Submitted Declarations Stating That They and the Potential Opt-in Plaintiffs are Similarly-Situated

In Representative Plaintiffs' Complaint, they defined the class as follows:

All current and former traffic control specialists employed by AWP, Inc. at any time between October 26, 2013 and the present.

(See Plaintiffs' Complaint at ¶ 77). Representative Plaintiffs and the class are similarly situated in several ways. First, they are/were employed by AWP as traffic control specialists. *Id.* at ¶¶ 1, 12, 15, 16, and 77. Second, they were classified by AWP as non-exempt employees. *Id.* at ¶ 17. Third, they were paid by AWP on an hourly basis. *Id.* at ¶ 18. Fourth, they work/worked in

excess of 40 hours per week. Id. at ¶¶ 1, 82. Fifth, they were only paid for work performed between the time they arrived and left the work site. Id. at ¶ 21. Sixth, they were not paid for work before arriving to the work site, including completing pre-trip inspections on AWP's vehicles, fueling AWP's vehicles, transporting other employees, and driving AWP's vehicles to the work sites. Id. at ¶¶ 22, 48-49. Seventh, they were not paid for work performed after leaving the work sites, including driving AWP's vehicles from the work sites and completing post-trip inspections on AWP's vehicles. Id. at ¶¶ 22, 55, 64. Eighth, they were not paid overtime compensation at the rate of one and a half times their hourly rate for the hours they worked in excess of 40 each workweek. Id. at ¶¶ 1, 82.

In addition to the allegations in Plaintiffs' Complaint, Representative Plaintiffs submitted declarations stating that they and the potential opt-in plaintiffs are similar in these regards.

Representative Plaintiff Paulette Luster declared:

- 1. I was employed by AWP Inc. d/b/a Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a non-exempt traffic control specialist between March 2015 and November 2015.
- 2. During my employment, AWP paid me on an hourly basis.
- 3. My job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations or multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP vehicle, and my starting and ending point was AWP's place of business.
- 4. I was only paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was not paid for performing the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites:
  - a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;
  - b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;
  - c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;
  - d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and

- e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.
- 5. AWP required me to perform this unpaid work each day.
- 6. I regularly worked over 40 hours per week, but I was not paid overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times my regular rate of pay for all of the hours I worked over 40 each workweek.
- 7. As a result of AWP's practices and policies, I was not compensated for all of the overtime hours I worked over 40 each workweek.
- 8. I observed that AWP did this to other traffic control specialist, and I believe I am similarly-situated to these individuals.
- 9. I seek to recover unpaid overtime compensation from AWP under the FLSA, and consent to be a named Plaintiff in the above-captioned collective action. I agree to be bound by any adjudication of this action by the Court, and any collective action settlement approved by this Court as being fair, adequate, and reasonable.
- 10. I consent to bring this action on behalf of myself and a class of similarly-situated optin plaintiffs, as defined in the Complaint as follows:

All current and former traffic control specialists employed by AWP, Inc. at any time between October 26, 2013 and the present.

- 11. I believe that I am similarly situated to the class of opt-in plaintiffs because, during my employment at AWP, I observed that the class and I:
  - (a) are/were employed as traffic control specialists;
  - (b) are/were paid on an hourly basis;
  - (c) are/were classified by AWP as non-exempt employees;
  - (d) work/worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek;
  - (e) are/were only paid for worked performed between the times we arrived and left the work sites;
  - (f) are/were not paid for work before arriving to the work site, including completing pre-trip inspections on AWP's vehicles, fueling AWP's vehicles, transporting other employees, and driving AWP's vehicles to the work sites;
  - (g) are/were not paid for work performed after leaving the work sites, including driving AWP's vehicles from the work sites and completing post-trip inspections on AWP's vehicles; and

(h) are/were not paid overtime at the rate of one and one-half times our regular rates of pay for all of the hours we worked over 40 in a workweek.

(See Exhibit 2, Declaration of Consent of Paulette Luster).

Representative Plaintiff Betty Haas declared:

- 1. I was employed by AWP Inc. d/b/a Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a non-exempt traffic control specialist between October 2014 and September 2016.
- 2. During my employment, AWP paid me on an hourly basis.
- 3. My job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations or multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP vehicle, and my starting and ending point was my home.
- 4. I was only paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was not paid for performing the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites:
  - a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;
  - b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;
  - c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;
  - d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and
  - e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.
- 5. AWP required me to perform this unpaid work each day.
- 6. I regularly worked over 40 hours per week, but I was not paid overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times my regular rate of pay for all of the hours I worked over 40 each workweek.
- 7. As a result of AWP's practices and policies, I was not compensated for all of the overtime hours I worked over 40 each workweek.
- 8. I observed that AWP did this to other traffic control specialist, and I believe I am similarly-situated to these individuals.

- 9. I seek to recover unpaid overtime compensation from AWP under the FLSA, and consent to be a named Plaintiff in the above-captioned collective action. I agree to be bound by any adjudication of this action by the Court, and any collective action settlement approved by this Court as being fair, adequate, and reasonable.
- 10. I consent to bring this action on behalf of myself and a class of similarly-situated optin plaintiffs, as defined in the Complaint as follows:

All current and former traffic control specialists employed by AWP, Inc. at any time between October 26, 2013 and the present.

- 11. I believe that I am similarly situated to the class of opt-in plaintiffs because, during my employment at AWP, I observed that the class and I:
  - (a) are/were employed as traffic control specialists;
  - (b) are/were paid on an hourly basis;
  - (c) are/were classified by AWP as non-exempt employees;
  - (d) work/worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek;
  - (e) are/were only paid for worked performed between the times we arrived and left the work sites;
  - (f) are/were not paid for work before arriving to the work site, including completing pre-trip inspections on AWP's vehicles, fueling AWP's vehicles, transporting other employees, and driving AWP's vehicles to the work sites;
  - (g) are/were not paid for work performed after leaving the work sites, including driving AWP's vehicles from the work sites and completing post-trip inspections on AWP's vehicles; and
  - (h) are/were not paid overtime at the rate of one and one-half times our regular rates of pay for all of the hours we worked over 40 in a workweek.

(See Exhibit 3, Declaration of Consent of Betty Haas.)

Thus, Representative Plaintiffs have sufficiently alleged that they and the potential opt-in plaintiffs are similarly situated. These specific and substantial allegations alone warrant conditional certification. *Reab*, 214 F.R.D. at 628.

# B. The Opt-in Party Plaintiffs Have Submitted Declarations Establishing That They Are Similarly Situated to Representative Plaintiffs and The Potential Opt-In Plaintiffs

In addition to Representative Plaintiffs' allegations, Opt-In Party Plaintiffs Cornell Alexander, Janiese Cage, Marquez Cross, Pamela Dubisky, Eric Fletcher, Brad Hintz, Andre Hunter-Eldride, Deborah Kirk, Renae Kleski, Lisa Leach, Keith Matthews, Mark McClinton, Patti Jo Moore, Watisha Ponyard, Michael Seay, Cynthia Slater, Teddy Smith Jr., Martise Spencer, Michael Stover Jr., Ramone Terrell, Beth Zorger, and Megan Zorger, who have already opted in to this collective action as party Plaintiffs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), have filed with the Court Notices of Consent, and have provided declarations establishing that they are similarly situated to Representative Plaintiffs and the potential opt-in plaintiffs. They have stated:

- 1. I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.
- 3. My job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations or multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP vehicle, and my starting and ending point(s) was/were AWP's place of business and/or my home.
- 4. I was only paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was not paid for performing the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites:
  - a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;
  - b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;
  - c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;
  - d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and
  - e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.
- 5. AWP required me to perform this unpaid work each day.

- 6. As a result of AWP's practices and policies, I was not compensated for all of the time I worked, including all of the overtime hours I worked over 40 each workweek.
- 7. I observed that AWP did this to other employees, and I believe I am similarly-situated to these employees.

(*See* Exhibit 4, Declarations of Cornell Alexander, Janiese Cage, Marquez Cross, Pamela Dubisky, Eric Fletcher, Brad Hintz, Andre Hunter-Eldride, Deborah Kirk, Renae Kleski, Lisa Leach, Keith Matthews, Mark McClinton, Patti Jo Moore, Watisha Ponyard, Michael Seay, Cynthia Slater, Teddy Smith Jr., Martise Spencer, Michael Stover Jr., Ramone Terrell, Beth Zorger, and Megan Zorger.)

These 23 declarations are more than sufficient evidence to warrant conditional certification. Bauer v. Transtar Industries, Inc., No. 1:15-cv-2602, 2016 WL 1408830, \*3 (N.D. Ohio 2016) (declaration of representative plaintiff and two (2) opt-in plaintiffs is sufficient "to meet the law threshold for showing they are similarly situated"); Ziemski, 2011 WL 1366668, at \*2 ("affidavits of named Plaintiff and two opt-in Plaintiffs fulfills the 'modest factual showing"); Douglas, 2007 WL 1341779, at \*6 (two affidavits are "sufficient to warrant conditional treatment of the case as a collective action" and notice to the opt-in class); Brown, 222 F.R.D. at \*19 (conditional certification appropriate based on allegations in a complaint supported by affidavits of two former employees); De Asencio v. Tyson Foods, Inc., 130 F. Supp. 2d 660, 663-64, aff'd 342 F.3d 301 (3rd Cir. 2003) (declarations of four employees justifies notice to the opt-in class); Pendlebury v. Starbucks Coffee Co., No. 04-CV-80521, 2005 WL 84500, at \*4 (S.D. Fla. January 03, 2005) (allegations in a complaint and declarations of four former employees sufficient to meet lenient standard to justify notice to "thousands of different store managers around the country"); Chin v. Tile Shop, LLC, 57 F. Supp. 3d 1075, 1083 (D. Minn. 2014) (declaration of representative plaintiff and two (2) other former employees is sufficient); Grayer, et al. v. Kennametal, Inc., No. 1:16-cv-1382 (N.D. Ohio 2016) (declarations

of representative Plaintiffs and 11 opt-in plaintiff's sufficient).

#### IV. PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED OPT-IN DISCOVERY SHOULD BE APPROVED

Representative Plaintiffs easily meet the minimal burden of showing that they are similarly situated to the potential opt-in plaintiffs. Therefore, conditional certification of the FLSA class, expedited opt-in discovery, and court-supervised notice are warranted, as discussed above. *See* Section II(A)(2), *supra*.

To facilitate prompt notice to the potential opt-in plaintiffs, Representative Plaintiffs have attached hereto a set of opt-in discovery requests (*see* Exhibit 5). Therein, Plaintiffs seek the identity, contact information, and pertinent employment dates of all current and former individuals employed by AWP Inc. d/b/a Area Wide Protective as traffic control specialists between October 26, 2013 and the present.

The requested information is necessary to determine the contact information for the potential opt-in plaintiffs who will be eligible to be notified of this lawsuit in the event the Court grants the instant Motion. In *Hoffman-La Roche*, the Supreme Court flatly affirmed the district court's approval of both expedited interrogatories seeking the names of potential opt-in plaintiffs and court-facilitated notice to the potential opt-ins.

As discussed above, time is of the essence, because the commencement of an FLSA collective action does not toll the running of the statute of limitations for the potential opt-in plaintiffs. Accordingly, prompt notification is necessary for the potential opt-ins to receive the full benefit of the FLSA's collective action mechanism and vindicate their FLSA rights in an economical and efficient manner. *See Hoffman-LaRoche*, 493 U.S. at 170 (the benefits of a collective action "depend on employees receiving accurate and timely notice"); *accord Douglas*, 2007 WL 1341779, at \*3; *Miklos*, 2000 WL 1617969, at \*3.

#### V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Representative Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Honorable Court conditionally certify the proposed FLSA class and implement a procedure, set forth in the accompanying proposed order (*see* Exhibit 1), whereby potential opt-in plaintiffs are notified of Plaintiffs' FLSA claims and given an opportunity to join this collective action as party plaintiffs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Chastity L. Christy

Chastity L. Christy (0076977)

Anthony J. Lazzaro (0077962)

Lori M. Griffin (0085241)

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building

614 W. Superior Avenue

Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Phone: 216-696-5000

Facsimile: 216-696-7005

anthony@lazzarolawfirm.com

chastity@lazzarolawfirm.com

lori@lazzarolawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on December 30, 2016, a copy of the foregoing *Plaintiffs' Motion* for Conditional Certification, Expedited Opt-In Discovery, and Court-Supervised Notice to Potential Opt-In Plaintiffs, and Memorandum in Support was sent to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access the filing through the Court's system.

/s/ Chastity L. Christy

One of the Attorneys for Plaintiffs

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

PAULETTE LUSTER and BETTY HAAS, on behalf of themselves and all others	) CASE NO. 1:16-cv-02613
similarly situated,	) JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
Plaintiffs,	) )
VS.	) ) ODDED
AWP INC., D/B/A AREA WIDE PROTECTIVE	ORDER )
Defendant.	) ) )
NOW, this day of	, 2017, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED
that Plaintiffs' Motion for Conditional Certific	eation, Expedited Opt-In Discovery, and Court-
Supervised Notice to Potential Opt-In Plaintiffs	s is GRANTED and the parties shall proceed as
follows:	

- 1) Within 14 days of this Order, Defendant shall fully answer Plaintiffs' Expedited Opt-In Discovery, and shall provide to Plaintiffs and the Court an Excel spreadsheet containing the name, last known home address (including zip code), last known telephone number, last known email address, and employment dates (in Microsoft Office Excel format) of all current and former individuals employed by AWP Inc. d/b/a Area Wide Protective as traffic control specialists between October 26, 2013 and the present; and
- 2) Within 10 days of this Order, the parties shall submit to the Court proposed language for notification and consent forms to be issued via First-Class Mail and Email, apprising potential plaintiffs of their rights under the FLSA to opt in as parties to this litigation.

In drafting the proposed notification language, the parties should "be scrupulous to respect judicial neutrality" and "take care to avoid even the appearance of judicial endorsement of the merits of the action." Hoffman-LaRoche Inc. v. Sperling, 493 U.S. 165, 174 (1989).

JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

PAULETTE LUSTER and BETTY HAAS, on behalf of themselves and all others	) CASE NO. 1:16-cv-02613
similarly situated,	) JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
Plaintiffs,	) )
vs.	) <u>DECLARATION OF CONSENT OF</u>
AWP INC., D/B/A AREA WIDE	<ul> <li>) PAULETTE LUSTER TO BE A</li> <li>) REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF FOR A</li> <li>) CLASS OF SIMILARLY-SITUATED OPT-</li> </ul>
PROTECTIVE	) IN PLAINTIFFS
Defendant.	)

I, Paulette Luster, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare, under penalty of perjury, that the following is true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge, information, and belief:

- I was employed AWP Inc. d/b/a Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a non-exempt traffic control specialist between March 2015 and November 2015.
- 2. During my employment, AWP paid me on an hourly basis.
- 3. My job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations or multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP vehicle, and my starting and ending point was AWP's place of business.
- 4. I was only paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was not paid for performing the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites:

#### eSign or return to:

a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;

b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;

c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;

d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business

at the end of the day; and

e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.

5. AWP required me to perform this unpaid work each day.

6. I regularly worked over 40 hours per week, but I was not paid overtime compensation at the

rate of one and one-half times my regular rate of pay for all of the hours I worked over 40

each workweek.

7. As a result of AWP's practices and policies, I was not compensated for all of the overtime

hours I worked over 40 each workweek.

8. I observed that AWP did this to other traffic control specialist, and I believe I am similarly-

situated to these individuals.

9. I seek to recover unpaid overtime compensation from AWP under the FLSA, and consent to

be a named Plaintiff in the above-captioned collective action. I agree to be bound by any

adjudication of this action by the Court, and any collective action settlement approved by

this Court as being fair, adequate, and reasonable.

10. I consent to bring this action on behalf of myself and a class of similarly-situated opt-in

plaintiffs, as defined in the Complaint as follows:

All current and former traffic control specialists employed by AWP, Inc.

at any time between October 26, 2013 and the present.

11. I believe that I am similarly situated to the class of opt-in plaintiffs because, during my

employment at AWP, I observed that the class and I:

eSign or return to:

- (a) are/were employed as traffic control specialists;
- (b) are/were paid on an hourly basis;
- (c) are/were classified by AWP as non-exempt employees;
- (d) work/worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek;
- (e) are/were only paid for worked performed between the times we arrived and left the work sites;
- (f) are/were not paid for work before arriving to the work site, including completing pre-trip inspections on AWP's vehicles, fueling AWP's vehicles, transporting other employees, and driving AWP's vehicles to the work sites;
- (g) are/were not paid for work performed after leaving the work sites, including driving AWP's vehicles from the work sites and completing post-trip inspections on AWP's vehicles; and
- (h) are/were not paid overtime at the rate of one and one-half times our regular rates of pay for all of the hours we worked over 40 in a workweek.

WHEREFORE, I hereby consent and agree to be a representative Plaintiff in the abovecaptioned collective action against Defendant and to be represented by the Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC.

Signature:

Paulette Luster (Dec 13, 2016)

Date: I

Dec 13, 2016

Full Name:

Paulette Luster

Street Address:

4964 E110

City, State, Zip:

Garfield Hts 44125

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

PAULETTE LUSTER and BETTY HAAS, on behalf of themselves and all others	) CASE NO. 1:16-cv-02613
similarly situated,	) JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
Plaintiffs,	
vs.	DECLARATION OF CONSENT OF
AWP INC., D/B/A AREA WIDE	) <u>BETTY HAAS TO BE A</u> ) <u>REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF FOR A</u>
PROTECTIVE	) CLASS OF SIMILARLY-SITUATED OPT-
Defendant.	) <u>IN PLAINTIFFS</u> )
	)

I, Betty Haas, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare, under penalty of perjury, that the following is true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge, information, and belief:

- I was employed AWP Inc. d/b/a Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a non-exempt traffic control specialist between October 2014 and September 2016.
- 2. During my employment, AWP paid me on an hourly basis.
- 3. My job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations or multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP vehicle, and my starting and ending point was my home.
- 4. I was only paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was not paid for performing the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites:
  - a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;
  - b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;

eSign or return to:

c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;

d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business

at the end of the day; and

e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.

5. AWP required me to perform this unpaid work each day.

6. I regularly worked over 40 hours per week, but I was not paid overtime compensation at the

rate of one and one-half times my regular rate of pay for all of the hours I worked over 40

each workweek.

7. As a result of AWP's practices and policies, I was not compensated for all of the overtime

hours I worked over 40 each workweek.

8. I observed that AWP did this to other traffic control specialist, and I believe I am similarly-

situated to these individuals.

9. I seek to recover unpaid overtime compensation from AWP under the FLSA, and consent to

be a named Plaintiff in the above-captioned collective action. I agree to be bound by any

adjudication of this action by the Court, and any collective action settlement approved by

this Court as being fair, adequate, and reasonable.

10. I consent to bring this action on behalf of myself and a class of similarly-situated opt-in

plaintiffs, as defined in the Complaint as follows:

All current and former traffic control specialists employed by AWP, Inc.

at any time between October 26, 2013 and the present.

11. I believe that I am similarly situated to the class of opt-in plaintiffs because, during my

employment at AWP, I observed that the class and I:

(a) are/were employed as traffic control specialists;

eSign or return to:

- (b) are/were paid on an hourly basis;
- (c) are/were classified by AWP as non-exempt employees;
- (d) work/worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek;
- (e) are/were only paid for worked performed between the times we arrived and left the work sites;
- (f) are/were not paid for work before arriving to the work site, including completing pre-trip inspections on AWP's vehicles, fueling AWP's vehicles, transporting other employees, and driving AWP's vehicles to the work sites;
- (g) are/were not paid for work performed after leaving the work sites, including driving AWP's vehicles from the work sites and completing post-trip inspections on AWP's vehicles; and
- (h) are/were not paid overtime at the rate of one and one-half times our regular rates of pay for all of the hours we worked over 40 in a workweek.

WHEREFORE, I hereby consent and agree to be a representative Plaintiff in the abovecaptioned collective action against Defendant and to be represented by the Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC.

Signature:

Berry C Haas

Date:

Dec 12, 2016

Full Name:

Betty C Haas

Street Address:

2223 25th Street NE

City, State, Zip:

Canton Ohio 44705

1.	I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.								
2.	. My approximate dates of employment are:								
	10/15/2012	to 7/14/2016							

3. I was/am employed in the following location(s):

Downtown and Fairfield - (Cincinnati, OH)

(fill in city, state)

Oct 25, 2016

Date:

4. My job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations or multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP vehicle, and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:

AWP's place of business / w my home (check one or both that apply)

- 5. I was only paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was not paid for performing the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites:
  - a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;
  - b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;
  - c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;
  - d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and
  - e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.
- 6. AWP required me to perform this unpaid work each day.
- 7. As a result of AWP's practices and policies, I was not compensated for all of the time I worked, including all of the overtime hours I worked over 40 each workweek.
- 8. I observed that AWP did this to other employees, and I believe I am similarly-situated to these employees.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge, information, and belief.

Signature: Could Alfred

Cornell Alexander (Oct 25, 2016)

Full Name: Cornell Alexander

Street Address: 434 Dayton Street

City, State, Zip: Cincinnati, OH 45214

Phone: 513-290-8071

Email: calexander77@ymail.com

eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

(fill in city, state)

1. I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.

2. My approximate dates of employment are:

3. I was/am employed in the following location(s):

to 4/7/16

8/26/15

eSign or return to: The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

Oakwood village, ohio

4.	or mu	lti-lan	s to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations ne road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP d my starting and ending point(s) was/were:												
		AWI	e's place of business	/		my home	(check	c one or both that apply)							
5.			paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was not paid for the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites:												
	c.	Fue Driv Driv the	impleting a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle; eling AWP's vehicle; iving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites; iving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of eday; and impleting a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.												
6.	AWP	requir	ed me to perform th	is unp	aid wo	rk each day.									
7.			of AWP's practices a ertime hours I work				nsated for	all of the time I worked, including							
8.	I obse	rved t	hat AWP did this to	other	employ	ees, and I believ	e I am sir	milarly-situated to these employees.							
			28 U.S.C. § 1746, I e best of my persona					y, that the foregoing is true and							
Signat	ture:		Janiese Cage (Oct 26)	// (6)	<u>~</u>		Date:	Oct 26, 2016							
Full N	ame:		Janiese Cage												
Street	Addre	ss:	2536 b e. 49th st.												
City, S	State, Z	Zip:	Cleveland, Ohio	4104											
Phone	:		2167023854												
Email	:		cagejan@gmail.c	om											

1.	I was/a	m employed b	y Area Wide	Protectiv	re ("AWP") as	a traffic cont	rol specialist.							
2.	Му ард	proximate date	s of employm	nent are:										
	May 3	1 2013	to Present											
3.	I was/a	m employed in	n the followin	g location	n(s):									
	Cincin	nati ohio	ohio (fill in city, state)											
4.	or mult	i-lane road clo	to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations e road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP my starting and ending point(s) was/were:											
		AWP's place of	of business	/	my home	(check	c one or both that apply)							
5.							the work sites. I was not paid for er leaving the work sites:							
	b. c. d.	<ul> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> </ul>												
6.	AWP re	equired me to	perform this u	ınpaid wo	ork each day.									
7.					I was not com ach workweek		all of the time I worked, including							
8.	I observ	ved that AWP	did this to oth	ner emplo	yees, and I bel	ieve I am sin	nilarly-situated to these employees.							
					are, under pena e, information,		y, that the foregoing is true and							
Signat	ure:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	oss (Nov 22, 2016	•		Date:	Nov 22, 2016							
Full N	ame:	Marque	z Cross											
Street	Addres	s: 2670 Jac	ckway ct											
City, S	State, Zi	p: Cincinna	ati Ohio 452	39										
Phone	:	5132908	3753											
Email:		crossma	rquez7@gm	ail.com										

### eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC
920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113
Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

1.	I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.												
2.	My app	oroximate	dates of employs	nent are:									
	03/05/	2013	to 07/24/2	016									
3.	I was/a	m employ	yed in the followi	ng locatio	n(s):								
	Knoxy	ille, Tn					(fill in city, state)						
4.	or mult	My job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations r multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP ehicle, and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:											
	V	AWP's p	lace of business	/	my home	(check	one or both that apply)						
5.							the work sites. I was not paid for er leaving the work sites:						
	c.	<ul> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> </ul>											
6.	AWP r	equired m	ne to perform this	unpaid w	ork each day.								
7.			WP's practices an ne hours I worked				all of the time I worked, including						
8.	I obser	ved that A	AWP did this to of	ther emplo	yees, and I bel	ieve I am sin	nilarly-situated to these employees.						
			I.S.C. § 1746, I he st of my personal				y, that the foregoing is true and						
Signat	ture:	Pame	Dubisky (Oct 24, 20	A. 16)		Date:	Oct 24, 2016						
Full N	ame:	Pan	nela Dubisky										
Street	Addres	s: 730	8 Foxlair Rd										
City, S	State, Zi	ip: Kno	oxville, Tn 3791	8									
Phone	:	865	-300-1912										
Email	:	pdu	bisky@gmail.co	om									

#### eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005
Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

1.	I was/a	am em	ployed by	Area Wide	Pro	tective	e ('	"AWP") as	a traff	ic cont	rol special	list.			
2.	Му арј	proxii	nate dates	of employr	nent	are:									
	June 1	0, 20	12	to Februar	y 25	, 2016	Ó								
3.	I was/a	ım em	ployed in	the followi	ng lo	ocation	n(s)	):							
	Manas	ssas/F	redericksb	urg						,	(fill in o	city, sta	ate)		
4.	or mult	ti-lan	e road clos		ng m	y emp	oloy	ol services a yment, I tra as/were:							
	<b>V</b>	AWF	's place of	f business	/		n	ny home		(check	one or bo	oth that	apply)	)	
5.								ne time I arr to the work							or
	<ul> <li>a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> <li>e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.</li> </ul>														
6.	AWP r	requir	ed me to p	erform this	unp	aid wo	ork	each day.							
7.								was not com n workweek		ted for	all of the	time I	worked	l, includ	ing
8.	I obser	ved th	nat AWP d	id this to of	her	emplo	yee	es, and I be	ieve I	am sin	nilarly-siti	uated to	o these	employ	ees.
								, under pena			y, that the	forego	ing is t	rue and	
Signat	ure:			Oct 24, 2016)					Ι	Date:	Oct 24,	2016			
Full N	ame:		Eric fletc	her											
Street	Addres	ss:	9479 ina	ugural driv	re										
City, S	State, Z	ip:	King Geo	orge va											
Phone	:		2409380	366											
Email:			efletch87	@yahoo.c	om										

### eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

1.	I was/a	ım en	ployed by	y Area Wide	Pro	otective	e ("AWP") as	a traffic	c cont	rol specialist.		
2.	Му арг	proxi	nate dates	s of employs	nen	t are:						
	6/28/1	5		to 10/01/1	5							
3.	I was/a	ım en	ployed in	the followi	ng l	ocation	u(s):					
	Cantor	n								(fill in city, state)		
4.	or mult	My job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operation multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP vehicle, and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:										
		AWF	's place o	of business	/	<b>V</b>	my home	(	check	one or both that apply)		
5.										the work sites. I was not paid for er leaving the work sites:		
	a. b. c. d.	<ul> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> </ul>										
6.	AWP r	equir	ed me to p	perform this	unp	aid wo	rk each day.					
7.							I was not con ach workweel		ed for	all of the time I worked, including		
8.	I obser	ved th	nat AWP o	did this to o	her	employ	yees, and I be	elieve I a	ım sin	nilarly-situated to these employees.		
							re, under pen			y, that the foregoing is true and		
Signat	ture:			THintz (Oct 30,		)		D	ate:	Oct 30, 2016		
Full N	lame:		Brad Mi	chael Hintz	Z							
Street	Addres	s:	5416 M	St lot 166								
City, S	State, Zi	ip:	Magnoli	a,OH 4464	4							
Phone	:		2342142	184								
Email	:		b_hintz_	.77@yahoo	.CO1	m						

### eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

 $Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com /\ Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com$ 

1.	I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.											
2.	. My approximate dates of employment are:											
	09/201	4	to 09/2015	to 09/2015								
3.	I was/a	m employed	d in the following	the following location(s):								
	Charlo	tte, NC					(fill in city, state)					
4.	or mult	b was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations lti-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP e, and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:										
		AWP's plac	e of business	/	my home	(check	c one or both that apply)					
5.							the work sites. I was not paid for er leaving the work sites:					
	b. с.	<ul> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> </ul>										
6.	AWP re	equired me	to perform this	unpaid w	ork each day.							
7.					, I was not compeach workweek.	ensated for	all of the time I worked, including	3				
8.	I observ	ed that AW	P did this to ot	her emple	oyees, and I belie	eve I am sin	nilarly-situated to these employees	S.				
					are, under penal ge, information, a		y, that the foregoing is true and					
Signat	ure:		Re Huntek Inter-Eldridge (Oct 2		Ridge	Date:	Oct 26, 2016					
Full N	ame:	Andre	Hunter-Eldri	dge								
Street	Address	s: 240 M	Iontibello Dr									
City, S	State, Zi	p: Moore	esville, NC, 28	3117								
Phone	:	34776	45232									
Email:		alhe42	2@gmail.com									

#### eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

1. I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.

2. My approximate dates of employment are:

	4/2008		to 12/2015	i					
3.	I was/am	employed in	the following	ng locatio	on(s):				
	Youngstown, Ohio				(fill in city, state)				
4.	4. My job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flag or multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites i vehicle, and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:								
	<b>✓</b> A	WP's place of	of business	/	my home	(chec	ck one or both that apply)		
5. I was only paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the performing the following work before arriving to the work sites and after I									
	b. I c. I d. I		's vehicle; 's vehicle fr 's vehicle fr	rom my h	ome or AWF vork sites to r	o's place of but my home or A'	siness to the work sites; WP's place of business at	the end of	
6.	6. AWP required me to perform this unpaid work each day.								
7.	As a result of AWP's practices and policies, I was not compensated for all of the time I worked, include all of the overtime hours I worked over 40 each workweek.						, including		
8.	I observe	served that AWP did this to other employees, and I believe I am similarly-situated to these employees.							
	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge, information, and belief.								
Signature:		Deborah Kiri	K (Oct 24, 2016)			Date:	Oct 24, 2016		
Full Name:		Deborah	Kirk						
Street Address:		6007 W	Liberty St						
City, State, Zip:		: Hubbard	l, Ohio 444	25					
Phone:		330-716	-5577						

# eSign or return to:

Email:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113

djk91872@yahoo.com

Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

	1.	I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.							
	2.	My approximate dates of employment are:							
		03/15	to 02/16						
	3.	I was/am employed in the following location			(s):				
		Canton, Ohio			(fill in city, state)				
	4.	or multi-la	ly job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operation multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP chicle, and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:						
		AW	P's place of business /	$\checkmark$	my home	(check	one or both that apply)		
	5.		paid for work performed be the following work before				the work sites. I was not paid for er leaving the work sites:		
		b. Fue c. Dri d. Dri the	<ul> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> </ul>						
	6.	AWP requi	WP required me to perform this unpaid work each day.						
	7.	As a result of AWP's practices and policies, I was not compensated for all of the time I worked, including all of the overtime hours I worked over 40 each workweek.							
	8.	I observed that AWP did this to other employees, and I believe I am similarly-situated to these employees.							
		Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge, information, and belief.							
Signature:		ure:	Renae S. Kleski Renae S. Kleski (Oct 26, 2016)			Date:	Oct 26, 2016		
Full Name:		ame:	Renae S. Kleski						
Street Address:		Address:	4593 Swonger Rd NW Apt C						
City, State, Zip:		tate, Zip:	Dover, Ohio 44622						
Phone:			330-987-3313						
Em	Email:		rkleski@drascinc.com						

eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

1.	I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.								
2.	My approximate dates of employment are:								
	Feb 2015		to May 2016						
3.	I was/am employed in		n the following location(s):						
	Indian	apolis and Peru	ı Indiana			(fill in city, state)			
4.	or mult	job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operational closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP icle, and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:							
		AWP's place o	of business /	<b>✓</b>	my home	(chec	k one or both that apply)		
5.	I was only paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was not paid for performing the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites:								
	<ul> <li>a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end the day; and</li> <li>e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.</li> </ul>								
6.	AWP required me to perform this unpaid work each day.								
7.	As a result of AWP's practices and policies, I was not compensated for all of the time I worked, including all of the overtime hours I worked over 40 each workweek.								
8.	I observed that AWP did this to other employees, and I believe I am similarly-situated to these employees.								
	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge, information, and belief.								
Signat	ure:	Keith Nathau	Ano Z. Man	\ <u>\\</u>		Date:	Nov 1, 2016		
Full N	ame:	Keith M	athews						
Street Address:		s: 3578 w.	100 n						
City, State, Zip:		p: Kokomo	Kokomo Indiana. 46901						
Phone:	:	7654327	7654327561						
Email:		t3970km	aol.com						

### eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

1. I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.

to November 2015

2. My approximate dates of employment are:

March 2014

eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

3.	I was/am employed in the following location(s):								
	Cincin	nati Ohio	(fill in city, state)						
4.	or mult		ol services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations syment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP as/were:						
	<b>/</b>	AWP's place of business / 🗾 n	ny home (check one or both that apply)						
5.	I was only paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was not paid performing the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites:								
	b. c. d.	<ul> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> </ul>							
6.	AWP r	equired me to perform this unpaid work	each day.						
7.		sult of AWP's practices and policies, I was overtime hours I worked over 40 each	vas not compensated for all of the time I worked, including workweek.						
8.	. I observed that AWP did this to other employees, and I believe I am similarly-situated to these employees								
	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge, information, and belief.								
Signature:		Mark McClinton (Oct 24, 2016)	Date: Oct 24, 2016						
Full N	ame:	Mark McClinton							
Street Address:		s: 1486 southridge ln							
City, State, Zip:		ip: Cincinnati oh 45231	Cincinnati oh 45231						
Phone	:	4197739558	4197739558						
Email:		mcclinton.mark2@gmail.com	mcclinton.mark2@gmail.com						

1.	I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.											
2.	My app	proxin	nate dates	of employr	nent	are:						
	March	15 20	15	to Feb 201	6							
3.	I was/a	m em	ployed in	the following	ng lo	ocation	u(s):					
	Cantor	ohio						(fill in city, state)				
4.	or mult	ly job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operation multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP chicle, and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:										
		AWP	's place o	f business	/	<b>/</b>	my home	(ch	heck	s one or both that apply)		
5.										the work sites. I was not paid for ter leaving the work sites:		
	<ul> <li>a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> <li>e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.</li> </ul>											
6.	AWP re	equire	d me to p	erform this	unp	aid wo	rk each day.					
7.							I was not com ach workweek		for	all of the time I worked, including		
8.	I observ	ved th	at AWP o	lid this to ot	her	employ	yees, and I be	lieve I am	ı sir	milarly-situated to these employees.		
							re, under pena e, information			y, that the foregoing is true and		
Signat	ure:	F	Parri Patti Jo Moer	Oct 25, 2016	<u>!e</u>			Date	e:	Oct 25, 2016		
Full N	ame:	]	Patti Jo N	Moore								
Street	Addres	s: 3	310 Enga	adine Cour	t Ap	ot. A2						
City, S	State, Zi	ip:	Sugarcre	ek, OH 440	581							
Phone	:	3	3304075	577								
Email:		ł	brendana	llman07@	gma	ail.con	n					

# eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

1.	I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.										
2.	Му арр	proxima	ite dates of employn	nent are:							
	06/30/	15	to 10/15/16	ó							
3.	I was/a	ım emp	loyed in the following	ng location	n(s):						
	Oakwo	ood					(fill in city, state)				
4.	or mult	ti-lane r		ig my emp	ntrol services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations ployment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP ) was/were:						
		AWP's	place of business	/ "	my home	(check	one or both that apply)				
5.							the work sites. I was not paid for er leaving the work sites:				
	<ul> <li>a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> <li>e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.</li> </ul>										
6.	AWP r	equired	me to perform this	unpaid wo	ork each day.						
7.			AWP's practices and ime hours I worked			ensated for	all of the time I worked, including				
8.	I obser	ved that	t AWP did this to of	her emplo	yees, and I beli	eve I am sin	nilarly-situated to these employees.				
			U.S.C. § 1746, I he pest of my personal l				y, that the foregoing is true and				
Signat	ure:	J. Wa	N tisca 1 patisha ponyard (Oct 26, 20	16)		Date:	Oct 26, 2016				
Full N	ame:	V	Vatisha ponyard								
Street	Addres	ss: 1	5916 Edgewood av	ve							
City, S	State, Z	ip: M	Saple hts ohio 441.	37							
Phone	:	2	168491705		-						
Email:	Email: ponyardwatisha@yahoo.com										

# eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

4. My job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations

(fill in city, state)

1. I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.

2. My approximate dates of employment are:

3. I was/am employed in the following location(s):

to 02/01/2015

08/12/2014

eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

Panama City Florida

			lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:										
		<b>/</b>	AWP's place of business / wy home (check one or both that apply)										
	5.		only paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was not paid for ming the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites:										
		a. b. c. d.	Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle; Fueling AWP's vehicle; Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites; Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.										
	6.	AWP required me to perform this unpaid work each day.											
	7.	As a result of AWP's practices and policies, I was not compensated for all of the time I worked, including all of the overtime hours I worked over 40 each workweek.											
	8.	I obser	ved that AWP did this to other employees, and I believe I am similarly-situated to these employees										
			nt to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and to the best of my personal knowledge, information, and belief.										
Sig	gnat	ure:	Michael Pierre Seay (Oct 24, 2016)  Date: Oct 24, 2016										
Fu	11 N	ame:	Michael Pierre Seay										
Stı	Street Address:		5204 Unit B Beach Drive										
Ci	ty, S	state, Zi	Panama,Florida,32408										
Ph	one	:	229-563-6204										
En	nail:		mikeseay@hotmail.com										

1. I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.

2. My approximate dates of employment are:

to June 2015

Dec 2007

eSign or return to: The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113

3.	I was/am employed in the following location(s):											
	Akron	Ohi	0				(fill in city, state)					
4.	or mult	ti-lar		g my emp	loyment, I travelle		such as one-lane flagging operations from the work sites in an AWP					
	V	AW	P's place of business	/	my home	(check	one or both that apply)					
5.							the work sites. I was not paid for er leaving the work sites:					
	b. c. d. e. AWP reall of the I observe	Fue Dri Dri the Con requiresult when over the over the control of	day; and mpleting a post-trip instruction and method perform this to of AWP's practices and vertime hours I worked that AWP did this to other them.	om my hor om the wor pection on unpaid wor d policies, over 40 ea ther employ	me or AWP's place rk sites to my home AWP's vehicle.  rk each day.  I was not compensate workweek.  yees, and I believe re, under penalty of	sated for I am sin	ness to the work sites; 'P's place of business at the end of all of the time I worked, including nilarly-situated to these employees.  y, that the foregoing is true and					
Signa		. ю и	Cynthia Slater (Oct 25, 2016)		, information, and	Date:	Oct 25, 2016					
Full N	lame:		Cynthia Slater									
Street	Address	ss:	411 N Columbus St	reet								
City, S	State, Zi	ip:	Galion Ohio 44833	Galion Ohio 44833								
Phone	:		3309888038									
Email	:		nativehrt@hotmail.c	com								

1.	I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.										
2.	My approximate dates of employment are:										
	March 3	rd 2015 to March 7th 2016									
3.	I was/am	I was/am employed in the following location(s):									
	Martin's	Martin's Ferry Ohio, Canton Ohio, Pennsylvania (fill in city, state)									
4.	or multi-	was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:									
	A	WP's place of business / wmy home (check	k one or both that apply)								
5.		ly paid for work performed between the time I arrived and lefting the following work before arriving to the work sites and af									
	<ul> <li>a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> <li>e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.</li> </ul>										
6.	AWP req	quired me to perform this unpaid work each day.									
7.		alt of AWP's practices and policies, I was not compensated for overtime hours I worked over 40 each workweek.	all of the time I worked, including								
8.	I observe	ed that AWP did this to other employees, and I believe I am sin	milarly-situated to these employees.								
		to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare, under penalty of perjure the best of my personal knowledge, information, and belief.	y, that the foregoing is true and								
Signat	ure:	Teddy Dean Smith Jr. (Oct 25, 2016)	Oct 25, 2016								
Full N	ame:	Teddy Dean Smith Jr.									
Street	Address:	P.O. Box 544									
City, S	State, Zip:	: Saint Clairsville Ohio 43950	ville Ohio 43950								
Phone	:	1-304-907-5946									
Email:		tedroe41@gmail.com									

eSign or return to:
The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC
920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113
Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

1.	I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.										
2.	Му арј	proximate da	ates of employn	nent are:							
	08/10/	15	to 04/01/16	5							
3.	I was/a	m employed	l in the following	ng location	n(s):						
	Lynn l	naven			(fill in city, state)						
4.	or mul	ti-lane road		ig my emj	control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP at(s) was/were:						
	<b>✓</b>	AWP's plac	e of business	/	my home	(check	one or both that apply)				
5.							the work sites. I was not paid for er leaving the work sites:				
	<ul> <li>a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> <li>e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.</li> </ul>										
6.	AWP r	equired me	to perform this	unpaid w	ork each day.						
7.			o's practices and hours I worked				all of the time I worked, including				
8.	I obser	ved that AW	P did this to ot	her emplo	yees, and I be	lieve I am sin	nilarly-situated to these employees.				
			.C. § 1746, I he of my personal l				y, that the foregoing is true and				
Signa	ture:	Martise r	MANDO JUNI nyuan Spender (Oct	<b>24</b> , 2016)		Date:	Oct 24, 2016				
Full N	lame:	Marti	se nyuan Spen	icer							
Street	Addres	s: 1162	1162 4th Ave								
City,	State, Z	ip: Chipl	Chipley fl,32428								
Phone	::	85062	245851								
Email	:	martis	se7@gmail.co	m							

### eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

1.	I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.									
2.	My app	roximate o	lates of employs	nent ar	re:					
	july 10	,2015	to july 14,	2016						
3.	I was/aı	m employe	ed in the followi	ng loca	ation	n(s):				
	dunbar	wv						(fill in city, state)		
4.	or multi	My job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operation multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP wehicle, and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:								
		AWP's pla	ce of business	/		my home	(chec	k one or both that apply)		
5.		- X						the work sites. I was not paid for ter leaving the work sites:		
	<ul> <li>a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> <li>e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.</li> </ul>									
6.	AWP re	equired me	to perform this	unpaid	l wo	rk each day.				
7.			P's practices an hours I worked					all of the time I worked, including		
8.	I observ	ed that AV	WP did this to of	her em	ploy	yees, and I bel	ieve I am sii	milarly-situated to these employees.		
			S.C. § 1746, I he of my personal					y, that the foregoing is true and		
Signat	ure:	<i>mich</i> michael	<i>AEL L STOV</i> I stover jr (Oct 25, 2	<u>er</u> jr 016)	<u>e</u> _		Date:	Oct 25, 2016		
Full N	ame:	mich	ael l stover jr							
Street	Address	s: 5424	6 new poerland	d road						
City, S	State, Zij	p: portl	and ohio 45770	)						
Phone	:	7405	082710							
Email	nail: michaelstover6@gmail.com									

### eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

1.	I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.											
2.	My app	oroxim	nate dates	of employs	nent	t are:						
	Novem	iber 20	013	to January	201	5						
3.	I was/ar	m emp	ployed in	the followi	ng le	ocation	ı(s):					
	ОНЮ						(fill in city, state)					
4.	or mult	b was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operation lti-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP e, and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:										
		AWP'	's place of	f business	/		my	home	(che	ck one or both that apply)		
5.		I was only paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was not paid for performing the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites:										
	<ul> <li>a. Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> <li>e. Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.</li> </ul>											
6.	AWP re	equire	d me to p	erform this	unp	aid wo	rk ea	ch day.				
7.				oractices an rs I worked					ensated fo	or all of the time I worked, including		
8.	I observ	ved tha	at AWP d	id this to ot	her	employ	yees,	and I belie	ve I am s	imilarly-situated to these employees.		
				§ 1746, I he y personal						ry, that the foregoing is true and		
Signa	ure:	R	Ramorie/19/	Oct 24, <u>20</u> 1	6)				Date:	Oct 24, 2016		
Full N	ame:	I	Ramone	Terrell								
Street	Address	s: 1	10810 Mt.overlook									
City, S	State, Zi	p: (	ОНІО									
Phone	:	2	21677349	943								
Email	ail: ramoneterrell@ymail.com											

### eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

4. My job was to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operations or multi-lane road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP

(fill in city, state)

1. I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.

2. My approximate dates of employment are:

3. I was/am employed in the following location(s):

beth9643@att.net

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

to 11/10/2015

vehicle, and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:

03/26/2012

Canton, Ohio

Email:

eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

	·		AWI	e's pla	ice o	f bus	iness	/		m	ny home	(check	one or both that apply)
5.			nly paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was nothing the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites										
		b. c. đ.	Fue Driv Driv the	Completing a pre-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle; rueling AWP's vehicle; Oriving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites; Oriving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and Completing a post-trip inspection on AWP's vehicle.									
6.	. A	AWP required me to perform this unpaid work each day.											
7.	7. As a result of AWP's practices and policies, I was not compensated for all of the time I worked, including all of the overtime hours I worked over 40 each workweek.												
8.	Ι	observ	ed tl	hat A'	WP d	did th	is to o	ther	emplo	yee	s, and I believe	e I am sin	nilarly-situated to these employees.
											under penalty of		y, that the foregoing is true and
Sign	Signature:			<u>Bez</u> Beth A	L H . Zorge	7. / er (Oct	Z ORG 1 22, 261	<u>er</u> 6)				Date:	Oct 22, 2016
Full	Nar	ne:		Beth	A. 2	Zorg	er						
Stree	t A	ddress	s:	8934 Rue Susan St.									
City,	Sta	ite, Zi	p:	Louisville,Ohio 44641									
Phon	hone:			330-224-7127									

1.	I was/am employed by Area Wide Protective ("AWP") as a traffic control specialist.										
2.	My app	proximate date	es of employr	nent are:							
	12/201	2	to 8/2014								
3.	I was/a	m employed i	n the followi	ng locati	on(s):						
	Stark a	and summit co	unty wayne	county			(fill in city, state)				
4.	or mult	i-lane road clo	as to provide temporary traffic control services at work sites, such as one-lane flagging operation me road closures. During my employment, I travelled to and from the work sites in an AWP and my starting and ending point(s) was/were:								
		AWP's place	of business	/	my	home	(check	one or both that apply)			
5.		I was only paid for work performed between the time I arrived and left the work sites. I was not paid for performing the following work before arriving to the work sites and after leaving the work sites:									
	b. с.	<ul> <li>b. Fueling AWP's vehicle;</li> <li>c. Driving AWP's vehicle from my home or AWP's place of business to the work sites;</li> <li>d. Driving AWP's vehicle from the work sites to my home or AWP's place of business at the end of the day; and</li> </ul>									
6.	AWP re	equired me to	perform this	unpaid v	vork ea	ach day.					
7.		sult of AWP's se overtime ho					nsated for	all of the time I worked, including			
8.	I observ	ved that AWP	did this to ot	her empl	oyees,	and I believ	e I am sin	nilarly-situated to these employees.			
		nt to 28 U.S.C to the best of						y, that the foregoing is true and			
Signat	ure:	Megan Gan	2 pett Zorger (Oct 2	<b>27, 2</b> 016)			Date:	Oct 21, 2016			
Full N	ame:	Megan	Garnett Zorg	ger							
Street	Address	s: 2104 17	17th st ne								
City, S	State, Zi	p: Canton,	Canton, ohio 44705								
Phone	:	330-212	2-6775								
Email	:	megan3	06545@aol	.com							

# eSign or return to:

The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

PAULETTE LUSTER and BETTY HAAS, on behalf of themselves and all others	) CASE NO. 1:16-cv-02613
similarly situated,	) JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
Plaintiffs,	) ) )
VS.	, )
AWP INC., D/B/A AREA WIDE PROTECTIVE	<ul> <li>PLAINTIFFS' OPT-IN DISCOVERY</li> <li>DIRECTED TO DEFENDANT</li> </ul>
Defendant.	)

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, request that AWP Inc. d/b/a wide Protective respond to the following interrogatories:

### **Interrogatory No. 1**

Provide the name, last known home address (including zip code), last known telephone number, last known email address, and employment dates (in Microsoft Office Excel format) of all current and former individuals employed by AWP Inc. d/b/a Area Wide Protective as traffic control specialists between October 26, 2013 and the present.

# **Interrogatory No. 2**

Provide the dates of employment of every individual identified in Defendant's response to Interrogatory No. 1.

/s/ Chastity L. Christy
Chastity L. Christy (0076977)

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony J. Lazzaro (0077962) Lori M. Griffin (0085241) The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC 920 Rockefeller Building 614 W. Superior Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 Facsimile: 216-696-7005 anthony@lazzarolawfirm.com chastity@lazzarolawfirm.com lori@lazzarolawfirm.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on December 30, 2016, a copy of the foregoing *Plaintiffs' Opt-In Discovery Directed to Defendant* was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access the filing through the Court's system.

/s/ Chastity L. Christy
One of the Attorneys for Plaintiffs